



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,095	12/01/2000	Dario de Judicibus	GB920000002US1	4536

35060 7590 05/17/2004

THE LAW OFFICE OF IDO TUCHMAN
69-60 108ST., SUITE 503
FOREST HILLS, NY 11375

EXAMINER

MAHMOUDI, HASSAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2175

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Applicati n No.

09/728,095

Applicant(s)

JUDICIBUS, DARIO DE

Examiner

Tony Mahmoudi

Art Unit

2175

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ido Tuchman (Attorney of Record).

(3) Tony Mahmoudi.

(2) Dov Popovici.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 May 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Kondo et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,519,865).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



DOV POPOVICI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The attorney of record discussed the applicants' teaching of "allowing a user to change conditional attributes to display attributes" and stated that the cited prior art did not teach this element of claim 1. The examiner explained that the secondary reference, Kondo et al, taught "display element generation means for converting the classification result provided by the attribute classification means into display elements", in column 2, lines 34-48. The attorney will further review the application in view of the cited references in the Final Rejection, and will possibly propose an amendment to the claims, after consulting with the applicants.